TATE PAPERS 11

Tools to Understand: An Evaluation of the Interpretation Material used in Tate Modern's *Rothko* Exhibition

RENATE MEIJER and MINNIE SCOTT

Questions and forms

1. Rothko entrance survey

Hello. I work for Tate Modern and I am doing some research into visitors' expectations of the Mark Rothko exhibition. Would you mind answering a few questions about your visit today before you go into the show? It should only take about eight minutes.

Q.1 When did you decide to visit the Ro	othko exhibition?
☐ Before your visit ☐	During your visit
Q.2 With whom are you visiting today? Alone Children under 15 Friends/relatives Tourist group Company outing School group Other (please specify):	
Q.3a Which of these statements are the last one of the major attractions in Local I am drawn to interesting buildings at It's an enjoyable way to pass the time. It is a nice place to spend time with note It is a nice place to spend time with note I to encourage children's interest in an I to improve my own knowledge or explicitly I have a personal interest in the subject I have an academic or professional in	nd places e ny friends and family et experience of art and artists ect eterest n or identity egs e setting
3b Which one of these is the <i>main reaso</i> Please mark with * [asterisk].	on why you have visited Tate Modern today?
Q.4 Do you have a professional/academ ☐ Yes	ic involvement with visual arts? ☐ No
If 'yes', please explain: ☐ Teacher ☐ Other:	☐ Student

Q.5 What are your expectations of the Mark Rothko exhibition? Mark the words that apply:

Accessible Comprehensive Emotional Factual Technical	Demanding Focused Relaxing Complex Thematic	Biographical Educational Inspiring Fun Other	Chronological Informative Spiritual Historical
Q.6 There are differer you plan to use any of		es to help you interpret	the exhibition. Do
Wall and caption texts	s yes	no	
Booklet	yes	no	
Multimedia tour (MM	IT) yes	no	
None of these	yes	no	
	ect the relationship bet information in the dif \(\square\) overlap		resources is?
<u> </u>	voice in the different	resources to be	
☐ the same		□ very differen	ent
	exity in the different re	□ very differe	

Q.8a We would like to know more about your expectations of each of these educational resources. Please indicate what you think each will provide?

	Wall/caption texts	Booklet	MMT
Description of the works	0	0	0
Motivation of the artist	0	0	0
Human interest details	0	0	0
Facts and figures (dates etc.)	0	0	0
Information on materials/techniques	0	0	0
General (art) historical context	0	0	0
Rothko's artistic development	0	0	0
Help to look more closely at a work	0	0	0
Different perspectives on the works	0	0	0

8b Which three of these do you consider most interesting? Please mark with * [asterisk].

Q.9 Do you en ☐ Yes	xpect th	e resoui	rces to b	e desig	ned for	differe	nt au	
If 'yes', please	e explai	n:						
Q.10 If you cowall texts in the Multimedia Wall texts Booklet None of the	he galle a Tour and cap	ry, bool					r this	exhibition – MMT,
Q.11 How oft ☐ First time ☐ Once a yea ☐ About three ☐ Every mon	r or less e times	s a year	Tate M	odern?				
Q.12 Do you your experien (how easy is i	ce on a	scale of	f 1–7?	-				general? Can you rate
Inexperienced	1 1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Experienced
Q.13 How wo	uld you	rate yo	our knov	wledge o	of (mod	lern) art	?	
Little/no Knowledge	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Specialist
Q.14 How wo (curiosity: how	•	•) art?)
Not interested	1 1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Very interested
Q.15 How im (involvement/	-			•		your life	e?)	
Not at all	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Very
Q.16 What is ☐ Male	your se	x?				□ Fen	nale	

Q.17 How old are you	1?			
Under 16		□ 25–34	□ 35–44	
□ 45–54		□ 65–74	□ 75+	
Q.18 What is your nat	ionality?			
Q.19 Where do you li				
☐ Greater London Ar	ea			
Rest of the UK	. c			
Utside UK, please	specify	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
O 20 Please indicate t	he highest lev	el of education	that you have completed.	
☐ No qualifications	ne mgnest iev	ci oi caucation	that you have completed.	
O-levels, GCSEs or	r equivalent			
☐ A-levels or equival	-			
☐ University degree of				
☐ Postgraduate or equ	-			
☐ Still in education				
\square Other				
Thank you very much sparing the time!	for sharing yo	our opinions wi	th us, we really appreciate you	1

Morris Hargreaves McIntyre segmentation questions

With whom are you visiting today? (Mark one response) Alone Children under 15 Friends/relatives Tourist group Company outing School group Other (please specify):
Which of these statements are the reasons for your visit to Tate Modern today? It's one of the major attractions in London I am drawn to interesting buildings and places It's an enjoyable way to pass the time It is a nice place to spend time with my friends and family To encourage children's interest in art To improve my own knowledge or experience of art and artists I have a personal interest in the subject I have an academic or professional interest A strong sense of personal connection or identity To see fascinating, awe-inspiring things To see beautiful things in an attractive setting To stimulate my own creativity Peaceful, quiet contemplation To escape and to recharge my batteries Don't know
Which one of these is the <i>main reason</i> why you have visited Tate Modern today? Please mark with * [asterisk].
Do you have a professional involvement with visual art? ☐ Yes ☐ No

3 Observation sheet

Rothko Evaluation pobserver:	oart 2: visitor obser Date		Time:
Subject A: Rooms 1 Instructions: pick th follow him/her discr We need at least twe	e next person who eetly through Roor	ns 1–3.	e door into Room 1 and
Entrance: How do p make? Does this person acc Yes No	_		and which choices do they
Does this person tall Yes No	k to the guard abou	it its contents?	
If so, what are the co	omments/questions	?	
Is this person visitin Yes No	g the exhibition alo	one?	
Does this person have Yes No	ve a MMT?		
Does this person rea Yes No	d the introductory	wall text?	
How does this perso	n 'get organised'?	Describe:	
•••••			•••••
Rooms 1–3: Genera			
How is this person r	C	C 1:	CI.
Browsing	Following	Searching	Choosing
Does this person use Wall text	e the interpretation Booklet	material? Tick: MMT	
Observe his/her inte In what combination			

Room 1: Wall text				
Booklet				
MMT				
Artwork			•••••	
Room 3: Booklet				
MMT				
Artwork				
		f engagement with	•	
Orientation		Exploration	Discovery	Immersion
What is his/l			n interpretation mater	ial?
Orientation]	Exploration	Discovery	Immersion
				ls?
Yes	No			
_	_	the art works?	this person people lo	ok at the resources,
How much to Room 1: □ < Room 2: □ < Room 3: □ <	2 mins 2 mins	his person spend a 2–5 mins 2–5 mins 2–5 mins	in these rooms? □5–10 mins □5–10 mins □5–10 mins	□ >10 mins □ >10 mins □ >10 mins
		in behaviour in th	nese three rooms?	
Yes	No			

Describe:
Subject B: Room 4 How does this person respond to the techniques and materials info? Instructions: pick the next person who comes through the door and observe him/her discreetly in Room 4.
Does this visitor enter the room alone? □Yes □No
Does this person have any interpretation resources? □Booklet □MMT
Does he/she use them? □Yes □No
How is this person moving around? □Browsing □Following □Searching □Choosing
The state of the s
In what order does s/he approach different aspects the room? Use 1, 2, 3, 4 etc. Please note if visitor returns to a particular aspect. Leave blank if visitor does not use.
note if visitor returns to a particular aspect. Leave blank if visitor does not use.
note if visitor returns to a particular aspect. Leave blank if visitor does not use. Wall text
note if visitor returns to a particular aspect. Leave blank if visitor does not use. Wall text
note if visitor returns to a particular aspect. Leave blank if visitor does not use. Wall text
wall text
mote if visitor returns to a particular aspect. Leave blank if visitor does not use. Wall text. Light box. Front of painting. Reverse of painting. Looking at MMT.
note if visitor returns to a particular aspect. Leave blank if visitor does not use. Wall text
mote if visitor returns to a particular aspect. Leave blank if visitor does not use. Wall text. Light box. Front of painting. Reverse of painting. Looking at MMT.
note if visitor returns to a particular aspect. Leave blank if visitor does not use. Wall text
note if visitor returns to a particular aspect. Leave blank if visitor does not use. Wall text. Light box. Front of painting. Reverse of painting. Looking at MMT. Reading booklet. Photos. Does this person approach the light box? Yes No If yes, what is this person's level of engagement with the techniques and materials information in the light box?
note if visitor returns to a particular aspect. Leave blank if visitor does not use. Wall text
note if visitor returns to a particular aspect. Leave blank if visitor does not use. Wall text. Light box. Front of painting. Reverse of painting. Looking at MMT. Reading booklet. Photos. Does this person approach the light box? Yes No If yes, what is this person's level of engagement with the techniques and materials information in the light box?
Mall text. Light box. Front of painting. Reverse of painting. Looking at MMT. Reading booklet. Photos. Does this person approach the light box? Yes No If yes, what is this person's level of engagement with the techniques and materials information in the light box? Orientation Exploration Discovery Immersion How much time does this person spend in this room? □< 2 mins □ 2-5 mins □ 5-10 mins □ >10 mins
mote if visitor returns to a particular aspect. Leave blank if visitor does not use. Wall text

4 Post-exhibition interview questions

General instruction: ask open questions (so no questions one can only answer yes to).

General questions

First we want to thank you for your time and cooperation. We work for TM and are carrying out a small survey to evaluate the interpretation material in the Rothko exhibition.

What did you expect this exhibition would be like? Have these expectations been met? (content, quality of works, type of information, type of experience)

We're first going to ask you some general questions about all the interpretation materials, then go on to ask you specific questions about each.

You have used the booklet, MMT and read the wall texts. What was your general idea of such materials before you used them today? (what did you expect)

What do you think of them now you've used them? (more/less positive, same/different as/than expected)

What about you say the differences are between the different types of interpretation materials?

(listen vs read, different types of information)

And the similarities? (supplement, overlap)

Did these materials help your visit? And how? How did the interpretation materials add or detract from your appreciation of the exhibition?

(help to see more/engage in more depth, form own opinion, understand more about...) (or material got in the way of enjoying visit?)

Did you appreciate/use one type of material more than the others? And why?

Can you talk about the content of the material? Is there a difference in the type of information?

(context vs help to see, background info vs different voices, interesting/boring)

What did you think of the tone of voice/style of writing of the material? Is there a difference?

(museum or outsiders talking, formal/informal etc.)

What did you think of the complexity of the material? Is there a difference? (simple/difficult, complex)

Who do you think the different materials are designed for? (tourists, experts, me, old people, children...)

Can we now look in more detail at the interpretation material(s) you used?

Instruction: we might want to start with the product the respondent liked best and ask all the questions about that resource. Then move on to the other resources with some additional/specific questions.

We might also want to alternate which product we discuss first, to make sure every product gets enough coverage.

Wall texts

How much did you read? Why? (all texts, a bit of each text)

Was that different per room? Why?

(one room might need more explanation, position on wall, check if they've noticed there's not texts in every room)

What can you say about their presence in the exhibition? (intrusive vs didn't notice them; (not) enough-about right-too many)

What do you think of wall texts and captions in general? (necessary, intrusive, interesting/boring, too much information, too difficult)

How do you compare the wall texts and captions in the Rothko exhibition? (content, complexity, type of information, enough information?, no caption text)

Is there anything in particular you'd like to say about the content of the wall texts/captions in the Rothko exhibition?

Can you say anything specific about the tone of voice/style of writing?

Can you say anything specific about the complexity?

How would your experience of the exhibition have been affected if you hadn't read the wall texts?

(what would you have missed: type of info, level of engagement)

Booklet

How much of the booklet did you read? Why? (a little – some/about half – most – all)

Was that different per room? Why?

(one room might need more explanation, text may be easier/more complex per room)

How do you use this kind of material in an exhibition? (read before looking, take home and read afterwards, ...)

What do you think of exhibition booklets in general? (necessary, intrusive, interesting/boring, too much information, too difficult)

How do you compare the exhibition booklets in the Rothko exhibition? (content, complexity, type of information, enough information?, no caption text)

Is there anything in particular you'd like to say about the content of the exhibition booklets in the Rothko exhibition?

Can you say anything specific about the tone of voice/style of writing?

Can you say anything specific about the complexity?

What did you think of the layout/design? (off-putting – inviting etc.)

What do you plan to do with the booklet? (read in the café/at home, keep/throw away)

How would your experience of the exhibition have been affected if you hadn't taken the booklet?

(what would you have missed: type of info, level of engagement)

MMT

How much of the MMT did you do? Why? (a little – some/about half – most – all)

Was that different per room? Why? (one room might need more explanation, text may be easier/more complex per room)

What do you think of multimedia tours/audio guides in general? (necessary, intrusive, interesting/boring, too much information, too difficult)

How do you compare the multimedia tours/audio guides in the Rothko exhibition? (content, complexity, type of information, enough information?, no caption text)

What did you think of the audio texts? (tone of voice, complexity, difficult words, for different audience) (but also: American voice, length)

How do you appreciate the different items (content)? Were there any you liked in particular?

(about this work, video material (the content, and the visual quality of it), music, poetry, different voices)

Can you say anything specific about the tone of voice?

Can you say anything specific about the complexity?

What do you think of the volume/distribution of the information? (enough/too much, not in the right room)

How do you feel about the balance between looking at the MMT screen and looking at the artworks?

(too much looking at screen, not enough referral to screen, just right)

How would your experience of the exhibition have been affected if you hadn't used the MMT?

(what would you have missed: type of info, level of engagement)

Room 4

Now we'd like to look at one particular room: Room 4, that deals with the techniques and materials Rothko used.

How did you appreciate this section of the exhibition? What do you think of this type of information being offered in the exhibition? (interesting, too technical, good addition, disturbing the emotional/spiritual

experience)

How much time did you spend here? Why?

How much of the information did you read? (wall text/light box; a little/some/most/all)

How did you go about it?

(behaviour: just read, just looked at art, read and looked at art, moved to and fro)

Did you use other interpretation resources? Can you compare them? (order of using materials)

Can you say anything specific about the content of the light box in room 4? (type of information, interesting/boring, difficult/easy, relevance, did you miss anything?) (but also: was it balanced?: too much in depth technical info, not enough, just right)

Can you say anything specific about the tone of voice/style of writing?

Can you say anything specific about the complexity?

What did you think of the layout/design? (off-putting – inviting etc.)

How would your experience of the exhibition have been affected if you hadn't learned about techniques and materials?

(what would you have missed: type of info, level of engagement) (but also: has it affected your way of looking at/experiencing Rothko paintings?)

Would you like similar information to be part of future exhibitions at Tate?

Looking back on the exhibition

We've discussed the different educational resources in this exhibition. Is there anything we forgot to ask? Anything else you'd like to say about them?

Are there unanswered questions? Things you didn't understand?

Was anything missing?

Can you tell us in a few words what this exhibition was about? (focus and narrative)

Is there a storyline/narrative? (beginning and an end, conclusion)

Is that different than what you expected before your visit?

Could you describe your exhibition experience by choosing from these words?:

Accessible Demanding Biographical Chronological Educational Comprehensive Focused Informative **Emotional** Relaxing Inspiring Spiritual Factual Complex Fun Historical